### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:			PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	national application No. F/US2005/011381	International filing date (da 01.04.2005	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.04.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K31/409, A61P35/00, A61P35/04, A61P43/00							
Applicant CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY							
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  □ Box No. □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. □ Priority □ Box No. □ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. □ Certain observations on the international application						
3.	For further details, see notes to F	orm PC1/ISA/220.					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Taylor, G.M.

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8406



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/011381

	Bo	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	Wit the	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and results and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	[		a sequence listing			
	ĺ		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
	[		in written format			
	[		in computer readable form			
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	[		contained in the international application as filed.			
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	נ		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Add	litio	nal comments:			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/011381

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
	claims Nos. 12-24					
bed	cause:					
$\boxtimes$	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12-24 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further of	detail	is			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 5, 6, 8-11, 18, 19, 21-24, 28, 29, 31, 32

No: Claims 1-4, 7, 12-17, 20, 25-27, 30, 33

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-33

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11,25-33

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/US2005/011381

#### **Cited Documents**

D1: WO 02/096913 D2: EP-A-0 633 024 D3: EP-A-0 720 853 D4: WO 92/01753 D5: US-A-5 358 940 D6: WO 95/06688 D7: WO 99/23882

D8: VOROZHTSOV, G N ET AL: "Phosphonylmethyl phthalocyanine derivatives in preparations for photodynamic therapy" CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS 2002, vol. 136, abstract no. 183942.

D9: WO 03/037902

D10: WAINWRIGHT, M: "Local treatment of viral disease using photodynamic therapy" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ANTIMICROBIAL AGENTS 2003, 21, 510-520.

#### Section III

1. Claims 12-24 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

#### Section V

- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 12-17, 20, 25-27, 30 and 33 do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT because compositions falling within the scope of the present claims are known in the prior art for use in methods of treatment also falling within the scope of the present application. See documents D1-D4, D6, D7 and D8.
- 3. The novel aspects of the claimed subject-matter cannot be seen as meeting the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.

It is clear from the cited prior art that compositions claimed in the present application are useful in the treatment of tumours. Furthermore, topical administration is presented as being a viable, if not preferred, mode of administration (see D2, page 2, lines 8-18 and D3, page 2, lines 5-24).

Moreover, the use of novel salts of known pharmaceuticals cannot be seen as being inventive unless their use results in an advantageous or unexpected effect

PCT/US2005/011381

not derivable from the prior art.

4. Notwithstanding the above objections, the claimed subject-matter does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT over its whole scope.

It is clear from D3 (page 2, lines 20-24) that not all topical formulations of (at least) zinc phthalocyanines are effective in providing significant skin penetration and hence being of use in PDT.

Moreover, the Applicant has only provided data to cover a very small sub-set of the range of compounds claimed.

A reasonable doubt that the underlying technical problem is solved over the whole scope of the claims therefore exists and an inventive step cannot be recognised for the present claims.

5. For the assessment of the present claims 12-24 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

#### Section VIII

- 6. Claim 16 is unclear because it refers to "a pharmaceutical composition of claim 14", whereas claim 14 is directed to a method of treatment.
  - Similar objections apply to claim 23 in respect of its dependency upon claim 22.